

COUNCIL MEMBER MENDELSON

GOVERNMENT OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA  
Office of the Attorney General



ATTORNEY GENERAL

September 17, 2010

The Honorable Phil Mendelson  
Chairperson  
Committee on Public Safety & the Judiciary  
Council of the District of Columbia  
1350 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W., Ste. 402  
Washington, D.C. 20004

Re: Bill 18-893, the "Anti-SLAPP Act of 2010"

Dear Chairperson Mendelson:

I have not yet had the opportunity to study in depth Bill 18-893, the "Anti-SLAPP Act of 2010" ("bill"), which will be the subject of a hearing before your committee today, but I do want to register a preliminary concern about the legislation.

To the extent that sections 3 (special motion to dismiss) and 4 (special motion to quash) of the bill would impact SLAPPs filed in the Superior Court of the District of Columbia, the legislation may run afoul of section 602(a)(4) of the District of Columbia Home Rule Act, approved December 24, 1973, Pub. L. 93-198, 87 Stat. 813 (D.C. Official Code § 1-206.02(a)(4) (2006 Repl.)), which prohibits the Council from enacting any act "with respect to any provision of Title 11 [of the D.C. Code]." In particular, D.C. Official Code § 11-946 (2001) provides, for example, that the Superior Court "shall conduct its business according to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure... unless it prescribes or adopts rules which modify those Rules [subject to the approval of the Court of Appeals]." As you know, the Superior Court subsequently adopted rules of procedure for civil actions, including Rules 12(c) (Motion for judgment on the pleadings), 26-37 (Depositions and Discovery), and 56 (Summary judgment), which appear to afford the parties to civil actions rights and opportunities that sections 3 and 4 of the bill can be construed to abrogate. Thus, the bill may conflict with the Superior Court's rules of civil procedure and, consequently, violate section 602(a)(4) of the Home Rule Act insofar as that section preserves the D.C. Courts' authority to adopt rules of procedure free from interference by the Council. Accordingly, I suggest that - if you have not already done so - you solicit comments concerning the legislation from the D.C. Courts.

Sincerely,

*Peter J. Nickles*  
Peter J. Nickles  
Attorney General for the District of Columbia

cc: Vincent Gray, Chairman, Council of the District of Columbia  
Yvette Alexander, Council of the District of Columbia